## Digital Dermatitis: Old Disease – New Research



Terry J. Engelken, DVM MS College of Veterinary Medicine Iowa State University Ames, IA





Historical Perspective

First described in 1974 in Italy

- described a "spirochete-like" organism (*Treponema*) in 1988 in the US
- attempts to produce lesions using *Treponema* alone have failed
- will see antibodies to *Treponema* in affected cattle
- exact etiology still remains elusive as unknown if *Treponema* is causative agent or just along for the ride

Digital Dermatitis or Hairy Heel Warts

Lesions occur on the skin of the back side of the foot

- circular or oval with clearly demarcated edges
- epithelial filiform papillae ("hairy warts") chronic lesions
- ulcerative and proliferative changes on histopath
- 80-90% of lesions occur on the back feet

Pain response due to lesions causes changes in gait

- shift weight to front of toe to keep lesion off floor
- decreased walking activity and feed intake
- decreased feeding performance

Digital Dermatitis or Hairy Heel Warts

Risk factors for development are not well understood

- stress induced immunosuppression?
- wet environment with mud and/or manure?
- mixing of cattle from different sources? Holsteins?

Bacterial population changes as lesions go from acute to chronic

- absence of viral or fungal DNA in any lesion
- each stage of the lesion has a particular population
- as lesions age *Treponema* numbers increase
- appears to take approximately 90-100 days













Other (<5.0%) Ruminococcaceae Lachnospiraceae Tissierellaceae Aerococcaceae Campylobacteraceae Corynebacteriaceae ■ Bacteroidaceae Porphyromonadaceae Moraxellaceae Mycoplasmataceae

Spirochaetaceae

Digital Dermatitis or Hairy Heel Warts

Parenteral antibiotics are not useful

- routine footbaths at receiving and reimplant
- reports of three consecutive days at arrival
- problem pens walked through footbath as needed

a) EOD through footbaths for 3 treatments

- 10% copper sulfate (16 lbs. in 20 gal. water)
- 5% formaldehyde (1 gal. 36% soln. in 19 gal. water)
- tetracycline sprayed on lesions (100 grams/gallon water)
- individual tetracycline bandages on clinical cases





Paul Plummer, DVM PhD College of Veterinary Med

Amanda Kreuder, DVM PhD College of Veterinary Med

Andrew Severin, PhD Genome Informatics Facility

#### Biopsy 100 Active DD Lesions for Metagenomic Analysis

Objective was to compare bacterial profile to work done in dairy industry

- do similar lesions behave differently?
- impact on management practices?
- potential of vaccine development?

Collected samples from nine different feedyards and a packer (139 head total)

- tissues under microscope and special staining
- biopsies have been processed and data analysis underway



## **Feedlot Lameness / HHW Project**

Cooperating Feedlots

**Couser Cattle Company** Joe Kilburg **Grieman Brothers Feedyard Royal Beef (Jim/Julie Christensen)** Van Voorst Cattle (Steve Van Voorst) **Greg Pudenz Cattle Company Upchurch Feeders / Bar K Cattle Darin Green Feedlot H&S Farms Upper Iowa Beef Processors** 

Nevada, IA Sabula, IA Garner, IA **Royal, IA** Sioux Center, IA Breda, IA Colo, IA Hull, IA **Carroll, IA** Lime Springs, IA

























Funded by the Beef Checkoff.



#### Relative abundance by stage



Relative abundance by stage

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **Digital Dermatitis Ruminations?**

- Bacterial profiles show great similarity in feedlot vs. dairy lesions
- "Three legged stool" in terms of future intervention strategies
  - 1. Better understanding of how organism survives in the environment(s)?
    - indoor cattle vs. outdoor cattle
    - potential to adopt technology from poultry industry for bedding packs
  - 2. Animal factors that affect lesion development?
    - prior to arrival and after arrival
    - what is the cause of the initial insult to the skin?
      - a) most bacteria don't penetrate normal skin (*Treponema sp.*)
      - b) *Dichelobacter nodosus*
      - c) real efficacy of mats in confinement barns?

- "Three legged stool" in terms of future intervention strategies (cont.)
  - 3. Better options for treatment besides footbaths?
    - potential vaccine development
      - a) cost comparison with footbath
      - b) cost of vaccine + number of doses
      - c) selection of bacteria or combination of bacteria
    - immunostimulant or improved foot health (bacterial resistance?)
    - program economics

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)